

AccountabilityOffice CharterSchooRenewaPetition April 12, 2012

PublicHearingand Action on CharterSchoolRenewalPetition – Public Astrice (%)

AccountabilityOffice CharterSchooRenewaPetition April 12, 2012

- 2) The petitioners are demonstrably unlikely to successfully implement the programs et forth in the petition,
- 3) The petition does not contain the number of signature sprescribed by code,
- 4) The petition does not contain an affirmation of each of the conditions prescribed by code and
- 5) The petition does not contain reasonably comprehensive descriptions of sixteen certain elements in its program and operations.

Newly adopted Stateregulations require for the automatic renewal of a charter school petition, if a school district fails to adopt written factual findings to support a denial within 60 days of the district's receipt of a petition. (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 5, § 11966.4(c).)

In addition, a charter schoolseeking renewal must also meet at least one of the following criteria asset forth in Education Code § 47607:

- 1) Attained its Academic Performance and ex (API) growth target in the prior year or in two of the last three years, or in the aggregate or the prior three years.
- 2) Rankedn deciles 4 to 10, inclusive, on the API in the prior year or in two of the last three years.
- 3) Rankedn deciles 4 to 10, inclusive, on the APIfor a demographically comparable schoolin the prior year or in two of the last three years.
- 4) Theentity that grantedthe charter determines that the academic performance of the charter school is at least equal to the academic performance of the public school is the charter school pupils would otherwise have been required to attend, as well as the academic performance of the school in the school district in which the charter school is located, taking into account the composition

AccountabilityOffice CharterSchooRenewaPetition April 12, 2012

PS7hasmet the academicachievementstandardsof EducationCodesection47607and has complied with the standard and criteria of EducationCodesection47605. We recommend that the governing board grant PS7's enewal petition.

III. Resultsof Petition Review:

The Petition provided the information required by the Charter Schools Act of 1992 (Education Code § 47605); however, District Staffrecommende comerevision sto improve the petition in three areas: 1) Element J, Pupil Suspension and Expulsion 2) Element L, Attendance Alternatives; 3) Element M, Description of Employe Rights; and 4) Financia Planasit relates to budget expenditure and economic reserve.

PS7timely and effectively responded to staff recommendation by submitting the Revised Petition to the District.

1. ElementJ, PupilSuspensioand Expulsion

<u>StaffRecommendation</u>Theoveralldescriptionsof pupil suspensionand expulsionelementare acceptable however, Staffrequested the element to include language indicating that all expulsion documents will be forwarded to the District's Student Hearing and Placement Department.

<u>PS7'sResponse</u>:Therevisedpetition addedlanguageto elementJ, which makes the petition now reasonably comprehensiven its descriptions. PS7elaborated elementJ and included the following:

Following the approval of an expulsion recommendation by the SHPS oard of Directors for an in district student, all expulsion documents for the student, along with the student's cumulative file, will be forwarded to the District Director of Student Hearing and Placement (Revised Petition, page 58)

ElementL, Attendance Alternatives

<u>StaffRecommendationSimilarly,the overallattendancealternativesdescriptionis satisfactory but lacksinformation on what the charterneeds to provide each student for transferring to District schools.</u>

<u>PS7'sResponseth</u> the revised petition, PS7 added language oclarify what information is to be provided for each student transferring out of the charter school, "if a student decide to transfer from PS7 the Charter School will provide that student with a copy of their most recent report cardand current grades at the time of disenrollment," (Revise petition, page 74).

AccountabilityOffice CharterSchooRenewaPetition April 12, 2012

3. ElementM, Description of Employed Rights

 $\underline{StaffRecommendatio} \textbf{n} This element of the charter renewal petition did not fully describe the charter$

AccountabilityOffice CharterSchooRenewaPetition April 12, 2012

<u>PS7'sResponsePS7</u>provideddetailedexplanationfor the lower salariesand benefits and economic reserve budget as provided in the revised petition. District Staffare satisfied with the revision and this element is now reasonably comprehensive.

IV. BudgetImplications

Eventhough a negative financial impact to the District cannot be used as criteria to deny a charter school petition, the potential loss of district students does reduce a vailable revenue in General Fund.

The following are estimates based upon known information:

To estimate the Loss of Revenue Limit and Lottery Funds for 502 ADA for 2012 2013 school year, offset by a reduction in expense for teachers and supplies, the potential reduction of funds to the district is approximately \$915,000.

These dosses would be offset by any feespaid to the district, including (but