



SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOARD OF EDUCATION

Agenda Item# 11.1c

Meeting Date: August 17, 2023

Subject: Approve Minutes for the June 8, 2023 Regular Board of Education Meeting

- Information Item Only
- Approval on Consent Agenda
- Conference (for discussion only)
- Conference/First Reading (Action Anticipated: _____)
- Conference/Action
- Action
- Public Hearing

Division: Superintendent's Office

Recommendation: Approve Minutes for the June 8, 2023, Regular Board of Education Meeting.

Background/Rationale: None

Financial Considerations: None

LCAP Goal(s): Family and Community Empowerment

Documents Attached:

1. Minutes of the June 8, 2023, Regular Board of Education Meeting

Estimated Time of Presentation: N/A

Approved by: Lisa Allen, Interim Superintendent



BOARD OF EDUCATION MEETING AND WORKSHOP

Board of Education Members
Chinua Rhodes President

- 3.1 Government Code 54956.6 Conference with Legal Counsel:
 - a) Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to subdivision (d)(2) of Government Code section 54956.9 (Two Potential Cases)
 - b) Existing litigation pursuant to subdivision (d)(1) of Government Code section 54956.9 (OAH Case No 2023020919)
- 3.2 Government Code 54957.6 (a) and (b) Negotiations/Collective Bargaining SCTA SEIU, TCS, Teamsters, UPE, NRE represented/Confidential Management (Superintendent Jorge Aguilar)
- 3.3 Government Code 54957 – Public Employee Discipline/Dismissal/Release/Reassignment
- 3.4 Education Code 48918 The Board will hear staff recommendation on the following student expulsions: Expulsion# 25 2022/2023 and -Admittance of Pre-expelled Students (4) (Lisa Allen and Stephan Brown)
- 3.5 Government Code 54957 Public Employee Performance Evaluation (a) Superintendent
- 3.6 Government Code 54957 – Public Employee Appointment (a) Chief Legal Counsel

4.0 CALL BACK TO ORDER/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The meeting was called back to order at 6:45 p.m.

- 4.1 The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Superintendent Aguilar
- 4.2 Broadcast Statement
- 4.3 Stellar Student introduced by Board Member Lavinia Phillips

5.0 ANNOUNCEMENT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION

There were 2 announcements that came out of closed session.

- The Board approved a special education settlement agreement identified as OAH Case No. 2023020919 by a vote of 05 with Member Rhodes and Member Phillips absent.
- The Board approved a settlement agreement related to special education services by a vote of 50 with Member Rhodes and Member Villa absent.

6.0 AGENDA ADOPTION

The Board voted unanimously to adopt the agenda.

7.0 PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address the Board on agenda items that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Board. Public comment may be (1) emailed to publiccomment@scusd.edu; (2) submitted in writing through the district's website at <https://www.scusd.edu/subpublic-comment>; or (3) provided in person at the meeting. T(7)(r)2(6)lic n o
p e

matters. For example, how staff is not making a recommendation for how the reserve fund that exceeds the 10% cap, will be spent or committed. Despite the Superintendent's commitment to equity and giving all students a chance to succeed, we continue to put a higher

to outside contractors in violation with their contract is hardly noncontroversial, and they respectfully request to have this item pulled from the consent agenda. Despite being in one of the most strongest financial positions of any district in the region, even after the Superintendent walked away from negotiations to add school days back when the Superintendent closed schools during the strike that he forced after telling the community that teachers didn't agree to a \$10,000 cut in compensation cut for ever teacher, and that the district would go into fiscal insolvency. SCOE plans to extend this oversight to the district into next year. Voters elected the school Board to direct the district, not Dave Gordon and SCOE. SCOE has sat side-by-side with the Superintendent through all the widely inaccurate budget projections. While the Superintendent opposed SCOE's oversight, he does not appear to challenge SCOE's inappropriate interference into Sac City's affairs which costs at least \$250k every year for their parttime fiscal advisory. If Mr. Gordon and SCOE persists, Sac City has a right to appeal their decision. In the past, the Superintendent has failed to inform the Board of this option, but the Board is not fully aware of this option. Their meeting was a serious look into the district's budget, and may have been a little uncomfortable, but often it's necessary to have those difficult conversations to make change.

f SEIU- There were 3 items that SEIU wanted to touch on. The sun shine for the district's initial proposal is dated for today, June 8. This is regarding reopener negotiations with SEIU for the term of July 1, 2022 to June 30, 2023. SEIU has a longstanding reclass that many are aware of which still needs to be resolved. Lastly, the school Board is moving forward with the new academic calendar that does not recognize nineteenth which is outrageous.

f TCS No update

f Teamsters No update

f UPE- No update

8.2 District Advisory Committees:

f Community Advisory Committee- The committee has some new members. There have been some presentations shared with members about services for autistic students, the transportation problems that plagued the special education department in the fall, community resources for parents, dyslexia, and educational related mental health services. The committee has been struggling without a dedicated staff support. They are a state mandated, statutory

advisory committee that's required under the CDE, and they do have anyone dedicated to support them for things like, posting their agendas, or pulling data that they request from the district to evaluate the services that are provided to our students. They are asking for a .25 FTE at minimum to support the work of the committee which they have asked for in the past. Also, they want to thank Krystal, the LCAP Coordinator for proactively consulting with the CAC. The following concerns that they would like to share are staffing, transportation for our students with disabilities, disproportionality, and concerned about what the process entails for parents and students to get approved for IEPs. They believe mandatory training for professional learning for teachers that interact with students with disabilities should be part of our district process.

f District English Learner Advisory Committee No update

f Local Control Accountability Plan/Parent Advisory Committee
The LCAP Committee is continually having issues to get data to accurately evaluate the action items within the LCAP. They asked that the metrics align with the goals and actions, and right now, the way that the LCAP is written, a lot of the strategies and actions don't align and don't seem student focused. There are serious concerns that allocations are not being made to address the concerns of students with the highest needs. It took a long time to get the committee started this year, because their coordinator left.

f Student Advisory Council No update

f Black/African American Advisory Board No update

- 8.3 Student Member Report (Liam McGurk)
Member McGurk wanted to share that June is Pride Month, and that they want to celebrate all our students in the district that are in the LGBTQ+ community. Member McGurk wanted to share the incident that recently happened at JFK, and stated that we need to do better as a district. The incident should have never happened, and Member McGurk hopes that the Board can help resolve this issue.

9.0 SPECIAL PRESENTATION

- 9.1 Recognition of Outgoing Student Board Member
Liam McGurk (Board President Chinua Rhodes)

President Rhodes asked that if anyone has public comment, to please come up and share prior to the Board sharing.

Public Comment:
Christina Prairie
Nathaniel Browning

Board Members:

Member Phillips shared that she appreciates everything that Member McGurk has done, and would truly like to see Member McGurk continue to work with the Board in the future.

Member Villa wanted to thank Member McGurk for sitting with the Board this last year, and appreciates Member McGurk's honesty and how after every presentation, Member McGurk would just get to the point, and it was always from a student perspective which we need to hear.

Member Pritchett shared that Member McGurk has been such a bright spot on this Board. Member Pritchett appreciates the perspective that Member McGurk brings to the Board, and asked that Member McGurk guide the next member filling the student Board member role.

Member Singh shared that he wished he had the whole year to get to know Member McGurk, but it's been a few really great months. One of the great qualities is that when Member McGurk has spoken, the whole Board has listened, because what Member McGurk shares is impactful. Member Singh thinks that Member McGurk holds a lot of expectations, desires, hope and dreams from Member McGurk's community. Member Singh really appreciates Member McGurk's presence. Member Singh shared that the way the community showed up today, even though it was for an incident that is very unfortunate, Member Singh thinks that they show up with hope, because they see Member McGurk up on the dais. Member Singh would like to continue working with Member McGurk at Hiram Johnson. There is a lot of work to do, and it's not just with renaming schools, but the mascots, and really making a difference in our communities to make sure Member McGurk's community is represented fairly, honestly, and truthfully.

Superintendent Aguilar wanted to acknowledge the voice that Member McGurk has brought which is not a voice that this Board and community has not been used to. Member McGurk has brought a voice that we have not yet had here in the past with a school like, Hiram Johnson.

Member McGurk will miss the Board and has made connections that would have never been made if Member McGurk had not stepped out of the (r)-1 ()-10 (e)4 (t)g(z1 (

9.4 Recommend the Renaming of Peter Burnett Elementary, Kit Carson International Academy, and Sutter Middle School (Rose Ramos)

Nathaniel Browning presented the recommendation for the renaming of Peter Burnett, Kit Carson, and Sutter Middle. Gathering of Native Americans curricular

in genocide deserves any plaque or visibility, and their name(s) deserve to be erased from our history books. Member Singh is proud that two of the schools are in his area, but the work doesn't stop. Member Singh expressed that we have a lot of work to do, and he would like to have a discussion as quickly as possible around the mascots at Hiram Johnson. Member Singh wanted to share with the community that he knows what it is like to be in a place where you feel uncomfortable, and you need to be at a school or place that makes you feel like yourself. Member Singh is hoping that by moving forward with the renaming of the schools that we hold ourselves to the standards that we are renaming the schools to. Member Singh agrees with Member Villa and wants students to be educated on why these people are no longer a part of their legacy moving forward.

Member Phillips wanted to clarify the spelling of the school name "Suy:u." Member Phillips shared that we have to make sure that our students are proud of where they're educated, why they're educated, and being extremely firm on how they're educated. Member Phillips wants to make sure that as a governing Board, that her colleagues know that this is just the beginning of change.

Member Kayatta wants to ensure the schools are restored to how they were with just a different name. Member Kayatta is concerned about things that may be important to parents in the community, like how the PTA may have paid for items that are displayed on the school. He doesn't want to strip something off, and expect the PTA to have to raise more money. Even if this means that we need to give more money than was projected, it's important that we do this right. Member Kayatta thinks that it is important that we recognize that we were a city that at one time decided to remain these schools after these people. Member Kayatta does not want to uplift these names, but he wants to recognize what happened. Member Kayatta thanked staff and committee for bringing this work forward.

Member McGurk appreciates the renaming of schools and the personal impact it has on Member McGurk and their family.

Member Singh wanted to reply to the comment that Member Kayatta made. Member Singh meant that people such as Burnett, Kit Carson, and Sutter don't deserve recognition at this level. Member Singh shared that students and our community should know who these people were and what they did, so that we don't repeat that history.

Member Phillips shared that one of the goals of colonization is to be able to make something your own and not ever have to remember who you took it from. Member Phillips shared that she doesn't think any of the folks who are involved at all, in experiencing how this all came to be and how the schools came to get these names will ever forget. Member Phillips

shared that there is a historical memory and trauma tied to this, so she doesn't know if we want to put a nice name on it, but this is something of an educational reparation. Member Phillips would have concerns if we left a marker that puts these three individuals back on the building.

Member McGurk wanted to share their approval moving this renaming forward.

9.5 2024 Election Bond Opportunity (Rose Ramos)

Rose introduced the district Financial Advisor, Dale Scott, who shared a bond opportunity for the district.

Public Comment:

No public comment

Board Comment:

President Rhodes wanted to confirm that this opportunity would not be extending taxes, or going back to voters asking for some type of additional tax, but it is the same bond that we had previously. Mr. Scott shared that the gray in the graph on the presentation is the same bond that we had. The colored parts on the graph would be a new bond that would extend the tax rate that was already being paid for what was added on. The best estimate looking at our numbers today is \$538 million. Mr. Scott shared that it's not a limitation, and the Board can decide that we are not going to do this way, and going to ask for more. This would require an increase in the tax rate level from where it is today.

Member Phillips wanted to confirm that the bond is going to continue up until a point, and we are asking the community to do it a little longer.

10.0 PUBLIC HEARING

10.1 Public Hearing: 2023/24 Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) (Krystal Thomas)

Krystal Thomas presented the 2023 draft LCAP with a budget overview, the plan summary, comprehensive supports and services to schools, increased or improved services for socioeconomically disadvantaged students, foster youth and English learners, and fiscal reporting overview.

Public Comment:

Sarah Williams Kingsley

Board Comments:

Member Jeane is excited about Ms. Thomas' vision for alignment. Member Jeane wanted to know if Ms. Thomas' h

considered combining LCAP goals 3 & 6. Ms. Thomas shares that staff will have to go through that process, and thinks that it is important to bring everyone to the table. Member Jeane is excited about next year and anticipating the work that Ms. Thomas is setting up for LCAP next year.

Member Villa appreciates Ms. Thomas' talking about condensing the document. The current EOC document takes a lot of focus to get through, and can be a huge barrier for some parents who may not know the process. Member Villa shared that she does have a concern of LCAP members not being parents. Personally, Member Villa believes that when you are a parent in the district, you are at school sites and hearing from other families or community members, and it is important that their voice is leading the district for our students.

10.2 Public Hearing: Proposed Fiscal Year 2023-24 Budget for All Funds (Rose Ramos)

Rose Ramos, Cindy Tao, and Jessie Castillo shared the financial reporting requirements, the May revise and estimated actuals highlighted, 2023-budget assumptions, other postemployment benefits, 2024 proposed budget and LCAP summary, multi-year projections

June 30th without the ability for staff or new legal counsel, the flexibility to gradually get up to speed on any of these matters. The contract being brought the Board is for a one-year term, but could be a shorter term if the Board desires.

Public Comment:

No public comment

Board Comments:

Member Kayatta followed up on the summary that the Superintendent gave about what this contract is. Member Kayatta stated that this is not a way to back door with no limit of services from Lozano Smith. Member Kayatta shared that we are continuing to have the RFI, and also going to hire a chief counsel. Member Kayatta thanked Lozano Smith for this transition work. Regardless of what legal counsel we go with or chief legal counsel we have, we won't drop any action litigation, and don't drop on our face for negotiations.

Member Singh shared concerns around the RFI and getting a monthly report. Member Singh wants to make sure that the Board continues receiving the monthly report. If legal counsel is hired, that they will play that role in reviewing and ensuring that our contractual obligations are being met.

Member Kayatta made a motion with a second from Member Jeane. The Board voted 6-0 with Member Pritchett absent.

- 11.2 Revision to Board Policies 0420.4, 0420.41, 0420.42, 0420.43 (Charter School Authorization, Oversight, Renewal, Revocation)-2 (, 8,(w)-3 (3f(e)4 (5.2 (t)-2 (d.-2 (, ne)4 (. 4 (v)4 (5-1 (ha)-

Member Jeane made a motion with a second from Member Villa. The Board voted 6-0 with Member Pritchett absent.

12.0 COMMUNICATIONS



12.2 President's Report (



Member Kayatta asked to pull 13.1a and 13.1b for discussion. Members Phillips would like

from the bottom of the barrel to do these jobs, that is we have these people and staff asking to spend \$29 million or so to make sure these services are in place.

Member Kayatta was hoping that staff can consult with labor partners to see if there is something that we can do, and honor their contract which states these services should be provided by their staff. Member Kayatta believes that it should be a top priority to ensure these services are brought in-house.

Member Phillips' request is for labor partners to hear out the issues that may be regarding hiring practices. If we cannot find people, we need to absolutely have an authorization to spend.

Superintendent Aguilar said that he could share the current vacancy levels that we have today in some of these areas would prompt us to bring some of these items to you. Staff share (32 (hel((a)-72in-2 (ar)-1 (e)4 ()JTJ ET Q 33F)ep0(ay)4M42 (t)-n))JTJ 0 Tc S (e)